



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/806,036	07/05/2001	Mark Leslie Smythe	4050.001200	3406
23720	7590 05/11/2004		EXAMINER	
	MORGAN & AMER	KAM, CHIH MIN		
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1653	

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/806,036	SMYTHE ET AL.				
omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Chih-Min Kam	1653				
Period for Reply	rears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pall All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

1. In the preliminary amendment filed July 5, 2001, claims 4-8, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21-26, 30, 31 and 36 have been amended, and new claims 37-43 have been added, thus, claims 1-43 are pending.

2. Claims 30 and 31 cite A1, however, there is no A1 in the independent claim 27. To advance prosecution, claims 30 and 31 are treated as containing A2. Applicant is advised to amend the claim properly.

Election/Restrictions

3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- 1. Group I, claims 1-7, 20-26, 36, 37 and 41-43, drawn to a cyclic peptide, a composition containing the cyclic peptide, and a method of synthesis of a cyclic peptide or peptidomimetic compound of general formula I or II either in solution or via solid-phase synthesis by N-substitution or using a chemical moiety that forces a cis amide bond conformation to facilitate cyclization.
- 2. Group II, claims 27-31 and 38, drawn to a method of solid-phase synthesis of a cyclic peptide by preparing a linear resin-bound peptide containing A2, where A2 is reactive functional group to form an initial large cyclic peptide prior to ring contraction to the desired substituted cyclic peptide.

Art Unit: 1653

3. Group III, claims 8-19, 32-35, 39 and 40, drawn to a method of synthesis of a cyclic peptide or peptidomimetic compound of general formula I or II either in solution or via solid-phase synthesis by preparing a linear peptide of general formula III or XIII containing A1 and A2, where A1 is an N-substituent or a chemical moiety that forces a cis amide bond conformation, and A2 is reactive functional group to form an initial large cyclic peptide prior to ring contraction to the desired substituted cyclic peptide.

Should Group III be elected, applicant is required to select one ring size (5, 6 or 7 atoms) and an aromatic or cyclic alkyl structure as A2 having general formula (a). Applicant is also required to select one heteroatom or carbon in the ring structure, and one functional group for each X, Y and Z from claim 19. Each compound with different cyclic structure and functional group, absent factual data to the contrary, is a patentably distinct compound. This is not species election.

The methods of Inventions I, II and III are different from each other because Invention I is directed to a cyclic peptide and a method of synthsizing a cyclic peptide using an N-substituent or a chemical moiety that forces a cis amide bond conformation, while Invention II is a method of synthsizing a cyclic peptide using a reactive functional group to form an initial large cyclic peptide prior to ring contraction to the desired substituted cyclic peptide, and Invention III is a method of synthsizing a cyclic peptide using both N-substituent or a chemical moiety that forces a cis amide bond conformation, and a reactive functional group to form an initial large cyclic peptide prior to ring contraction to the desired substituted cyclic peptide.

The claims of these groups are directed to different inventions which are not linked to form a single general concept. The claims in the different groups do not have in common the

Art Unit: 1653

same or corresponding special technical features. In particular, each group is directed to different methods which use different materials and have different method steps. Accordingly, the claims are not so linked by a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 so as to form a single inventive concept and lack of unity is deemed proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to

Art Unit: 1653

retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Shelley Fussey on May 3, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1653

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D. CMK Patent Examiner

May 4, 2004

SUPERVISORY FATE TECHNOLOGY CENTER SOC

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800